

JOURNAL OF COMBINATORIAL THEORY, Series A 26, 127-134 (1979)

The Translation Planes of Order 16 That Admit $PSL(2, 7)$

N. L. JOHNSON

Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242

AND

T. G. OSTROM*

Department of Mathematics, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received July 5, 1977

1. INTRODUCTION

The main result of this article is:

(2.01) THEOREM. *A translation plane π of order 16 admits a collineation group isomorphic to $PSL(2, 7)$ if and only if π is derived from the semifield plane with kernel $GF(4)$ and admits affine elations.*

In [12] Lorimer constructs an extremely interesting translation plane of order 16 as follows: Consider the seven point projective plane $PG(2, 2)$ with points $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\}$. The full collineation group of $PG(2, 2)$ is $PGL(3, 2)$ which is isomorphic to $PSL(2, 7)$. Moreover, using the fact that A_8 is isomorphic to $GL(4, 2)$, Lorimer corresponds, to every line $\{1, 2, 3\}$ of $PG(2, 2)$, two permutations (123) and (132) of A_7 and thus two 4×4 matrices over $GF(2)$. The seven lines of $PG(2, 2)$ give rise to 14 4×4 matrices M_i over $GF(2)$. If we take $y = 0, x = 0, y = x, y = xM_i$ as components we obtain a congruence and thus a translation plane π of order 16. Every collineation of $PG(2, 2)$ induces a collineation of the constructed plane π so that π admits $PSL(2, 7)$ as a collineation group. Lorimer actually uses the explicit isomorphism given in Dickson [1] (pp. 290-292) for the correspondence between A_8 and $GL(4, 2)$. This isomorphism gives rise to a translation plane of order 16 which is tangentially transitive with respect to a subplane of order 2.

Johnson and Ostrom [10] have noted that the plane of order 16 of Rahilly is also tangentially transitive and have shown the uniqueness of such planes. Thus the Lorimer and Rahilly planes are isomorphic.

* The research of this author was supported in part by an NSF grant.

Walker [16] has also reconstructed the tangentially transitive plane of Lorimer–Rahilly and has, in fact, noted that this construction gives rise to another distinct translation plane π_1 of order 16 admitting $PSL(2, 7)$ as a collineation group. This plane π_1 may be constructed by using an isomorphism between A_8 and $GL(4, 2)$ distinct from that given in Dickson.

Jha [6] has shown that any tangentially transitive plane is of order q^2 and may be derived from a semifield plane whose coordinate semifields possess middle nuclei containing $GF(q)$.

Thus, the Lorimer–Rahilly plane is derivable from the semifield planes of order 16 whose coordinate semifields are of dimension two over their middle nuclei. There is exactly one such semifield plane: the semifield plane of order 16 with kern $GF(4)$. Although it is not apparent from its construction in Walkers paper [16], the plane π_1 may also be derived from this same semifield plane.

Johnson [9] has completely determined the translation planes that may be obtained by the derivation of the unique semifield plane of order 16 and kernel $GF(4)$. It turns out that there are exactly three pairwise nonisomorphic planes; the unique tangentially transitive plane π_{tt} of Lorimer–Rahilly, a plane $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}$ (π_1 above) with the same orbit structure on l_{∞} as π_{tt} , and a plane $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ which contains an invariant net of degree five. The planes π_{tt} and $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}$ both admit affine elations.

Our work then shows that if a translation plane π of order 16 admits a collineation group \mathcal{G} such that $\mathcal{G} \cong PSL(2, 7)$ then π is π_{tt} or $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}$ and conversely.

Aside from the exceptional properties of the Lorimer–Rahilly tangentially transitive plane π_{tt} , the planes π_{tt} and $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}$ are interesting in other ways. Johnson [8] has shown that if π is a translation plane of order q^2 that is derived from a semifield plane (by replacement of a net containing a shears axis) then if $q \neq 4$, π contains an *invariant* derivable net.

We see therefore that the planes π_{tt} and $\pi_{\mathcal{E}}$ show that this result cannot be extended to planes of order 16.

Actually, in some sense, the fact that a translation plane of order 16 can admit $PSL(2, 7)$ as a collineation group is perhaps the most remarkable single fact concerning these planes. That is, Hering and Ostrom [13], [14] have shown that in translation planes of order p^r the group E generated by elations is either

- (1) elementary Abelian
- (2) $SL(2, p^s)$
- (3) $SL(2, 5)$, $p = 3$
- (4) $S_2(2^s)$, s odd

or

- (5) $4 \nmid |E|$.

Moreover, Foulser [2] has shown that if $p \neq 2$, the group generated by Baer p -elements satisfies (1), (2), or (3).

Johnson and Ostrom [11] have also shown that if a nonsolvable group \mathcal{G} contains only Baer involutions and the plane has dimension 2/kernel then the group generated by the Baer 2-elements in \mathcal{G} is $SL(2, 2^*)$.

Thus, if a translation plane of order 16 admits $PSL(2, 7)$ then (1) its involutions are Baer (by Hering-Ostrom) and (2) the dimension/kernel is 4 (kernel is $GF(2)$) (by Johnson-Ostrom).

Therefore, the study and determination of the translation planes admitting $PSL(2, 7)$ forms the groundwork for studying the exceptional situations of Jha and Johnson mentioned above and the 4-dimensional analogue of the Johnson-Ostrom theory of Baer groups in translation planes of even order.

2. TRANSLATION PLANES OF ORDER 16 ADMITTING $PSL(2, 7)$

(2.1) LEMMA. *Let π be a translation plane of order 16. The fixed point space of a collineation ρ of order 7 in the translation complement is always a subplane of order 2.*

Proof. Consider $\langle \rho \rangle | l_\infty$. If $\langle \rho \rangle | l_\infty = \langle 1 \rangle$ then $\rho \in \text{kernel } \pi$. But, $|\text{kernel } \pi| = 15, 3, \text{ or } 1$. The number of points on l_∞ is congruent to 3 mod 7. Thus, $\langle \rho \rangle$ must fix at least three points on l_∞ and fix at least three points on each of the fixed components. (This includes the origin and the point on l_∞ .) Thus, ρ fixes a subplane π_1 pointwise. We cannot have $|\pi_1| = 4$ since otherwise 7 would divide $16 - 4 = 12$.

(2.2) PROPOSITION. *Let π be a translation plane of order 16. Let \mathcal{E} be an elementary abelian 2-group of order 4 which fixes some Baer subplane π_\emptyset pointwise. Let η be the net of π defined by π_\emptyset . Let \mathcal{H} be a collineation group of order 3 which fixes pointwise some Baer subplane of η . Then π is derivable and the associated derived plane $\bar{\pi}$ is either the unique semifield plane of order 16 with kernel $GF(4)$ or $\bar{\pi}$ is Desarguesian.*

Proof. By Johnson ([7], Theorem (2.4)), π is derivable from a semifield plane $\bar{\pi}$ whose coordinate semifields either have their right nuclei $\supseteq GF(4)$. If $\bar{\pi}$ is not Desarguesian then the left nucleus (kernel in this case) is also isomorphic to $GF(4)$ (see e.g. Johnson [9] (1.1)).

(2.3) PROPOSITION. *Let π be a translation plane of order 16 in which the translation complement contains a dihedral group S of order 8 which fixes a 2-space over $GF(2)$ pointwise. If the involutions of S are Baer then there is an elementary abelian subgroup of S of order 4 which fixes a Baer subplane pointwise.*

Proof. The 2-space fixed pointwise by S cannot be contained in a component \mathcal{L} since if so S acts faithfully on \mathcal{L} and the fixed points of S on \mathcal{L} form a line of a Baer subplane which is fixed pointwise by some element of S . Thus, S is faithful and each element is fixed point free on the remaining 12 points of \mathcal{L} . But then 8 would have to divide 12.

Thus, S fixes a subplane π_0 of order 2 pointwise. Let $\langle \tau \rangle =$ center of S . Then S fixes the Baer subplane π fixed pointwise by τ . Since there are just two points of $\pi_\tau \cap l_\infty$ not in $\pi_0 \cap l_\infty$, there is a subgroup \mathcal{E} of S of order 4 which fixes $\pi_\tau \cap l_\infty$ pointwise. Thus, \mathcal{E} must fix π_τ pointwise. It follows by Foulser [3] that \mathcal{E} must be elementary abelian.

(2.4) LEMMA. *If a translation plane of order 16 admits $PSL(2, 7)$ as a collineation group then the involutions are Baer and the orbits on l_∞ have lengths either*

- (1) 14, 1, 1, 1
- (2) 7, 7, 1, 1, 1
- (3) 8, 7, 1, 1

or

- (4) 8, 8, 1.

Proof. Since $PSL(2, 7)$ is simple, it is generated by its involutions, so by Hering [4], the involutions are Baer.

By (2.1), every element of order 7 has two orbits of length 7 on l_∞ and fixes three infinite points. By Galois (see e.g. Huppert [5] (p. 214)), $PSL(2, 7)$ must fix *pointwise* any set of cardinality ≤ 6 which it fixes. Since $|PSL(2, 7)| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$, the possible orbit lengths on l_∞ therefore satisfy case (1), (2), (3), or (4).

(2.5) LEMMA. *Under the assumptions of (2.4), cases (2) or (4) cannot happen.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{J}_2 be a sylow 2-subgroup. In case (4), \mathcal{J}_2 must act regularly on the two orbits of length 8 (that is, the stabilizer of a point of one of these orbits has order 21). But, since the involutions are Baer, this is a contradiction.

In case (2), \mathcal{J}_2 must fix a point of each of the orbits of length 7 thereby fixing 5 points on the line at infinity. Since \mathcal{J}_2 fixes a subplane pointwise, \mathcal{J}_2 must fix a Baer subplane pointwise. But, \mathcal{J}_2 is dihedral of order 8 and by Foulser [3] a 2-group fixing a Baer subplane pointwise must be elementary abelian at characteristic two.

This proves (2.5).

Note that if \mathcal{L} is a component fixed by $PSL(2, 7)$, we have case (1), (2), (3), or (4) on \mathcal{L} . However, case (4) cannot be the situation on \mathcal{L} since there must be at least two fixed points (\emptyset and $\mathcal{L} \cap l_\infty$).

(2.6) LEMMA. *If we have case (3) 8, 7, 1, 1 on l_∞ we then have cases (1) or (3) on each of the fixed components.*

Proof. Let $x = 0, y = 0$ denote the fixed components. If we have case (2) on $y = 0$ or $x = 0$, then by the argument of (2.5), \mathcal{G}_2 must fix a Baer subplane pointwise and this is a contradiction as in the previous proof.

(2.7) LEMMA. *If we have case (3) on some fixed component \mathcal{L} then the orbit of length 7 union the zero vector is a subspace of dimension $3/GF(2)$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{G} \cong PSL(2, 7)$ fixes \mathcal{L} then $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{L} \leq GL(4, 2)$. Every element of order 7 of $GL(4, 2)$ is conjugate and so fixes some 3-subspace (i.e., acts canonically as in $GL(3, 2)$). So, if we have orbits of length 7 and 8 on \mathcal{L} and if the length 7 orbit (union \mathcal{O}) is not a 3-space then the orbit of length 8 contains a 7-orbit of an element of order 7 which is a subspace. Thus, either we have the proof to our assertion or the orbit of length $8 \cup \{\mathcal{O}\}$ is a set of nine vectors such that the deletion of any nonzero vector is a 3-subspace. But, this says that we have two vector subspaces V_1, V_2 of dimension $3/GF(2)$ that share seven vectors. Thus, $\dim(V_1 \cap V_2) \geq 3$ (i.e., $2^{\dim(V_1 \cap V_2)} \geq 7$) so that $V_1 = V_2$ which is a contradiction.

(2.8) LEMMA. *If we have case (3) on l_∞ , if the restriction of $\mathcal{G} = PSL(2, 7)$ to $x = 0$ is of type (1) or (3) and if the restriction to $y = 0$ is of type (1) or (3) then \mathcal{G} is represented by matrices of the form*

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|cccc} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & & & & \\ b_1 & & & & & & & \\ b_2 & & A & & & & \mathcal{O} & \\ b_3 & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & 1 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ & & & & d_1 & & & \\ & & \mathcal{O} & & d_2 & & A & \\ & & & & d_3 & & & \end{array} \right]$$

where the various 3 by 3 matrices A constitute a representation of \mathcal{G} as $GL(3, 2)$ and the other elements depend on A so that the 4 by 4 matrices in the upper left and lower right also give a representation of \mathcal{G} .

Proof. Let λ be an element of order 7. Then λ fixes a component in the orbit of length 8. We can choose a basis so that $y = x$ is a component fixed by λ .

Consider the restriction of λ to one of the coordinate axes, say $y = 0$. In case (1), λ has an invariant 1-space which is also invariant under \mathcal{G} ; in case (3), λ has an invariant 3-space which is invariant under \mathcal{G} . In fact $\langle \lambda \rangle$ is completely

reducible and each coordinate axis (as a vector space) is the direct sum of an invariant 1-space and an invariant 3-space. (Since λ fixes three components and cannot fix pointwise a subplane of order greater than 4, a little counting establishes that the fixed point subspace on a fixed component must have dimension 1 and any other invariant subspace on the component must have dimension 3.)

If we represent $y = 0$ by ordered quadruples (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) we can choose a basis so that the invariant λ -space of dimension 1 is $\langle(1, 0, 0, 0)\rangle$ and the λ -space of dimension 3 is the set of vectors for which $x_1 = 0$.

Thus the restriction of \mathcal{G} to $y = 0$ (or $x = 0$) may be represented by matrices either of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & & & \\ b_2 & & A & \\ b_3 & & & \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & & & \\ 0 & & A & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where the three by three matrices A are the matrices representing \mathcal{G} as $\mathcal{GL}(3, 2)$. Thus the action of \mathcal{G} on the plane can be represented by matrices of the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccc} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \\ \hline b_1 & & & & \\ b_2 & & A & & \\ b_3 & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ d_1 & & & & \\ d_2 & & A^\theta & & \\ d_3 & & & & \end{array} \right]$$

where θ is an automorphism of \mathcal{G} . The stabilizer of a point on l_∞ in one of the orbits of length 8 has order 21. The automorphism group of $PSL(2, 7)$ is isomorphic to $P\mathcal{GL}(2, 7)$; the automorphisms of \mathcal{G} which fix each element of a group of order 21 correspond to the centralizer in $P\mathcal{GL}(2, 7)$ of a subgroup of order 21. This centralizer is trivial, i.e., $A^\theta = A$ for all A . This establishes Lemma (2.8).

(2.9) THEOREM. *If a translation plane of order 16 admits $PSL(2, 7)$ as a subgroup of the linear translation complement, then the orbits on l_∞ have lengths 14, 1, 1, 1.*

Proof. By Lemmas (2.5) and (2.6) we only need consider the possibility that the orbit decomposition on l_∞ might be 8, 7, 1, 1, 1. Hence we can use the representation of (2.8).

Now $\mathcal{G} \cong PSL(2, 7) \cong GL(3, 2)$ is represented faithfully on the coordinate axes. Each three by three matrix over $GF(2)$ is an element of $GL(3, 2)$ and will appear as the submatrix A for one of the 8 by 8 matrices in (2.8). Let A be the following matrix of order 2:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The reader can verify that a necessary condition for

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b_2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ b_3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

to have order 2 is that $b_3 = 0$. (Look at the element in row 3, column 1 of the square of the above matrix.) Hence \mathcal{G} contains an element in which

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b_2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

appears in the upper left hand corner and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ d_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ d_2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

appears in the lower right hand corner for some a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i in $GF(2)$.

The image of $y = x$ under this involution is a component $y = xM$ where M is the product of the above two matrices. It is immediate that M fixes $(0\ 0\ 0\ 1)$ and hence $y = xM$ has a nonzero vector in common with $y = x$. But $y = x$ and $y = xM$ must be distinct components since $y = x$ is in an orbit of length 8 and has a stabilizer of odd order. Distinct components can only share the zero vector. Thus we have a contradiction. We conclude that case (3) cannot occur on l_∞ so the theorem is proved.

(2.10) THEOREM. *A translation plane π of order 16 admits $PSL(2, 7)$ as a collination group if and only if π is derived from the semifield plane with kernel $GF(4)$ and admits elations.*

Proof. Let π admit $PSL(2, 7)$. By (2.11), we know the lengths of the orbits on I_∞ are 14, 1, 1, 1. We must also have cases (1), (2), (3) or (4) of (2.4) on the fixed components. By (2.3), a sylow 2-subgroup \mathcal{S}_2 contains an elementary abelian \mathcal{E} subgroup of order 4 which fixes a Baer subplane π_τ pointwise. Let $\gamma \in$ normalizer of \mathcal{E} and γ have order 3. Then by (2.11), γ must fix $\pi_\tau \cap I_\infty$ pointwise. By (2.4), we must have either case (1), (2) or (3) on fixed components so γ must fix some affine points and thus fixes a Baer subplane π_θ pointwise. Since $\pi_\theta \cap I_\infty = \pi_\tau \cap I_\infty$, π is derivable from the semifield plane of order 16 and kernel $GF(4)$ by (2.2).

By the structure of the orbits on I_∞ , π must be one of the two planes that admit elations.

Since there does exist by Walker [16] at least two nonisomorphic planes of order 16 which admit $PSL(2, 7)$, we also have the converse.

REFERENCES

1. L. E. DICKSON, "Linear Groups," Dover, New York, 1958.
2. D. A. FOULSER, Baer p -elements in translation planes, *J. Algebra* **31** (1974), 354-366.
3. D. A. FOULSER, Subplanes of partial spreads in translation planes, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **4** (1972), 1-7.
4. CH. HERING, On shears of translation planes. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* (1972), 258-268.
5. B. HUPPERT, "Endliche Gruppen I," Springer-Verlag: Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1967.
6. V. JHA, "On the Automorphism Groups of Quasifields," Thesis, Westfield College, University of London, 1972.
7. N. L. JOHNSON, Distortion and generalized Hall planes, *Geom. Dedicata* **4** (1975), 1-20.
8. N. L. JOHNSON, Collineation groups of derived semifield planes I, II, III, and Correction, *Arch. Math.* **24** (1973), 425-430; **25** (1974), 400-404; **26** (1975), 101-106; **27** (1976), 335-336.
9. N. L. JOHNSON, A note on the derived semifield planes of order 16, *Aequationes Math.*, in press.
10. N. L. JOHNSON AND T. G. OSTROM, On tangentially transitive planes of order 16, *J. Geometry*, in press.
11. N. L. JOHNSON AND T. G. OSTROM, Translation planes of characteristic two in which all involutions are Baer, submitted.
12. P. LORIMER, A projective plane of order 16. *J. Combinatorial Theory A* **16** (1974), 334-347.
13. T. G. OSTROM, Elations in finite translation planes of characteristic 3, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **41** (1974), 179-184.
14. T. G. OSTROM, Linear transformations and collineations of translation planes, *J. lgebra* **14** (1970), 405-416.
15. A. J. RAHILLY, "Finite Generalized Hall Planes and Their Collineation Groups," Thesis, University of Sydney, 1973.
16. M. WALKER, A note on tangentially transitive affine planes, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1976), 273-277.